## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00323 011055Z

14

**ACTION ACDA-10** 

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 CIAE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00

USIE-00 INRE-00 ERDA-05 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03 OIC-02

OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15

TRSE-00 NSC-05 BIB-01 /083 W  $\,$ 

----- 00868

O P 011000Z JUL 75
FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1088
SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE
INFO USMISSION NATO
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T MBFR VIENNA 0323

FROM US REP MBFR

USCINCEUR

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: DEFINITION OF COMMON CEILING

**REF: STATE 149343** 

- 1. PARAGRAPH 1 OF DRAFT NAC GUIDANCE ON OPTION 3 (REFTEL) CALLS FOR EAST TO UNDERTAKE A COMMITMENT TO A COMMON CEILING, "APPROPRIATELY DEFINED," AS THE GOAL OF PHASE II NEGOTIATIONS.
- 2. INITIAL SPC DISCUSSION ON OPTION 3 HAS DEMONSTRATED STRONG UK AND FRG INTEREST IN OBTAINING AS FIRM AND CONCRETE AN EASTERN COMMITMENT AS POSSIBLE -- A COMMITMENT GOING BEYOND EASTERN AGREEMENT TO THE "CONCEPT" OF THE COMMON CEILING AS PRESENTLY PROPOSED SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00323 011055Z

IN THE WESTERN OUTLINE OF PROPOSALS. THE GERMAN

SPEAKING NOTES USED AT THE JUNE 23 SPC (USNATO 3397) STATED THAT "WE SHOULD ENVISAGE A NUMERICAL DEFINITION OF THE COMMON CEILING AS A NEGOTIATING OBJECTIVE IN THE FIRST PHASE: AT ANY RATE, HOWEVER, WE SHOULD AIM AT MORE CONCRETE LANGUAGE THAN MERE AGREEMENT TO THE CONCEPT OF THE COMMON CEILING." THE UK PAPER OF JUNE 18 (STATE 144904), GIVEN BILATERALLY TO THE US AND FRG, STATED THAT "THE WARSAW PACT SHOULD UNDERTAKE A COMMITMENT TO THE COMMON CEILING APPROPRIATELY DEFINED (PROBABLY ON A NUMERICAL BASIS)." AT THE JUNE 30 SPC MEETING, THE UK REP PUT FORWARD AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE FIRST PARAGRAPH OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE ON OPTION 3 PROPOSEED BY THE US: THE UK DRAFT CONTAINED THE FORMULATION, "THIS COMMON CEILING WOULD BE SET AT APPROXIMATELY 700,000 (900,000 MEN.)" IN EFFECT, THIS FORMULATION WOULD REQUIRE EASTERN PHASE I AGREEMENT TO A NUMERICAL DEFINITION OF THE COMMON CEILING.

- 3. FOR THE REASONS OUTLINED IN MBFR VIENNA 138 AND IN STATE 135536 (ANSWER TO QUESTION NUMBER 3), WE DO NOT BELIEVE IT WOULD BE DESIRABLE FOR THE ALLIES TO POSE THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE EAST AGREE TO A NUMERICAL DEFINITION OF THE COMMON CEILING IN PHASE I. AS ALREADY ARGUED BY US REPS IN SPC, POSING THIS REQUIREMENT WOULD INEVITABLY INVOLVE ALLIES IN DETAILED NEGOTIATION OF DIFFICULT PHASE II ISSUES (ON MANY OF WHICH ALLIES PREESENTLY HAVE NO POSITION) IN PHASE I. MOREOVER, WE CONSIDER THAT IT WOULD BE UNDESIRABLE FOR THE ALLIES TO INCREASE THEIR NEGOTIATING DEMANDS ON THE EAST AT THE SAME TIME THEY PUT FORWARD OPTION 3, SINCE OUR TACTICAL AIM IS TO BRING THE EAST INTO SERIOUS NEGOTIATION ON THE BASIS OF THE WESTERN APPROACH TO REDUCTIONS.
- 4. NEVERTHELESS, WE BELIEVE THAT IT WILL BE
  NECESSARY IN SOME WAY TO ACCOMMODATE UK AND FRG
  INTEREST IN DEVELOPING A MORE PRECISE DEFINITION OF
  THE COMMON CEILING TO BE PUT FORWARD TO THE EAST.
  TO ACCOMPLISH THIS, WE SUGGEST FOR WASHINGTON
  SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00323 011055Z

CONSIDERATION THAT US REPS BE AUTHORIZED TO PUT FORWARD IN SPC THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS TO PARAGRAPH 1 OF THE DRAFT NAC GUIDANCE (REFTEL):

A. DELETE "APPROPRIATELY DEFINED" IN NEXT TO LAST SENTENCE OF PARAGRAPH 1 OF DRAFT GUIDANCE.

B. INSERT NEW SENTENCE BEFORE PRESENT LAST

SENTENCE OF PARAGRAPH 1: "IN THE PHASE I AGREEMENT, ALL DIRECT PARTICIPANTS WOULD COMMIT THEMSELVES TO AGREE IN PHASE II ON WHATEVER FURTHER REDUCTIONS WILL BE NEEDED TO REACH AN EQUAL TOTAL OF GROUND AND AIR FORCE MANPOWER FOR BOTH SIDES."

- C. INSERT "TO THE EAST" AFTER "ILLUSTRATIVELY" IN THE LAST SENTENCE OF PARAGRAPH 1.
- 5. THE EFFECT OF THE NEW LANGUAGE SUGGESTED IN SUB-PARAGRAPH B ABOVE WOULD BE TO SPELL OUT AN "APPROPRIATE DEFINITION" OF THE COMMON CEILING FOR PURPOSES OF PHASE I AGREEMENT TO BE PUT FORWARD TO THE EAST. IN DISCUSSION WITH THE EAST, THE ALLIES WOULD SUPPLEMENT THIS BY DESCRIBING THE COMMON CEILING ILLUSTRATIVELY AS APPROXIMATELY 900,000 MEN (LAST SENTENCE OF PARAGRAPH 1 OF DRAFT GUIDANCE), BUT WOULD NOT REQUIRE THAT THE EAST AGREE IN PHASE I TO THIS FIGURE.
- 6. WE BELIEVE THAT AN EASTERN PHASE I COMMITMENT TO ELIMINATE THE MANPOWER DISPARITY IN PHASE II, TAKEN IN CONJUNCTION WITH INFORMAL EAST-WEST UNDERSTANDING ON WHAT THE CURRENT MANPOWER TOTALS ON EACH SIDE IN THE AREA ARE, WOULD ADEQUATELY SERVE WESTERN PURPOSES.RESOR

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: AGREEMENT DRAFT, TEXT, OPTION III, AMENDMENTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 01 JUL 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975MBERV00323

Document Number: 1975MBFRV00323 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A Film Number: D750227-0853

From: MBFR VIENNA Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750795/aaaadhmq.tel Line Count: 140 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION ACDA **Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a

Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 75 STATE 149343
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 01 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 APR 2003 by Izenbel0>; APPROVED <02 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: DEFINITION OF COMMON CEILING

TAGS: PARM, NATO, NAC, MBFR
To: STATE DOD

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006